VARIANCE AND MINOR EXCEPTION



DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES 10601 Magnolia Avenue Santee, CA 92071 (619) 258-4100, Ext 167

THIS PROVIDES AN OVERVIEW OF THE VARIANCE AND MINOR EXCEPTION PERMIT PROCESS AND THE REQUIRED APPLICATION FORMS.

PURPOSE:

The purpose of a **variance** is to provide flexibility from the strict application of development standards when special circumstances pertaining to the property such as size, shape, topography, or location deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity and in the same district, consistent with the objectives of the development code. Any variance granted shall be subject to such conditions where the adjustment authorized does not grant special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and district in which such property is situated.

The purpose of a **minor exception** is to provide flexibility necessary to achieve the objectives of the development code. Selected site development regulations and applicable off-street parking requirements are subject to administrative review and adjustment in those circumstances where such adjustment will be compatible with adjoining uses or is necessary to provide reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities, and consistent with state or federal law, and consistent with the goals and objectives of the general plan and the intent of the code.

PROJECTS SUBJECT TO A VARIANCE OR MINOR EXCEPTION

A summary of development standard adjustments requiring a variance or a minor exception are listed below. Requests that exceed the adjustments listed under minor exception require a variance.

Variance (SMC Section 13.06.040)

| Fence/wall heights | Loading facilities |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Setbacks | Screening |
| Lot coverage | Usable open space |
| Building height | Hedges |
| Landscaping | Site area |
| On-street parking | Off-street parking |

Minor Exception (SMC Section 13.06.050)

| Fence/wall height maximum 2' increase |
|---|
| Setback decrease by no more than 25% |
| Lot coverage maximum increase 10% |
| Building height maximum increase 10% |
| Paved surface increase by no more than 25% |
| On-site parking maximum reduction 25% |
| 25% of required parking may be located off-site |

PROCESS FOR VARIANCE OR MINOR EXCEPTION:

Filing of the Application

- 1. Contact the Department of Development Services prior to submitting an application to discuss the feasibility of the proposed request.
- Complete the Variance/Minor Exception Application, submit the required materials listed on the submittal checklist, and any other materials requested by staff to the Department of Development Services.

NOTE: Detach the information pages prior to submitting the application

Staff Review

- 3. The project planner will review the materials to ensure that all of the required information is submitted. This completeness check shall be completed within 30 days of project submittal.
- 4. After all the required information has been provided to the project planner, a public hearing date will be scheduled with the Director of Development Services for a variance request or a Director's decision date will be scheduled with the Director of Development Services for a minor exception request.

Public Notification and Public Hearing for Variance*

- 5. At least 10 days prior to the public hearing, property owners within 300 feet of the project site will be notified by mail of the forthcoming public hearing. The notice will describe the variance request and identify the time, date, and place of the public hearing.
- Staff will make a recommendation to the Director of Development Services to approve, deny, or continue the application. Based on the required findings for a variance, the Director may approve the request with or without conditions, deny the request, or continue the public hearing to a later date.

Public Notification and Director's Decision for Minor Exception*

- 7. The applicant and contiguous property owners will be notified 10 days prior to the Director's decision. The notice will describe the minor exception request and will state the project address, decision date, and the name of the Director of Development Services and contact information.
- 8. The Director may approve the request with or without conditions, deny the request, or continue the decision to a later date based on the required findings for a minor exception.

<u>Appeal</u>

- 9. The decision of the Director of Development Services may be appealed within 10 days of the decision. If no appeal is filed within 10 days, the decision of the Director becomes effective.
- * If the request for a variance or minor exception is associated with a project that requires review by the City Council (i.e. Development Review Permit, Conditional Use Permit, Tentative Map, etc.), the City Council will be the reviewing authority on the variance or minor exception.

SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

b.

_____ Minor Exception

| 1. | APP | PLICATION |
|----|------|---|
| | a. | Completed and signed Variance/Minor Exception Application |
| | b. | Environmental Information Form |
| | C. | Sewer & Water Availability Forms (signed by Padre Dam Municipal Water District) Print forms at http://www.padredam.org/242/Development-Services |
| | d. | Storm Water Intake Form http://www.cityofsanteeca.gov/index.aspx?page=585 |
| | e. | Hazardous Waste Statement |
| | f. | Ownership Disclosure Statement |
| | g. | Applicants Statement of Justification for Required Findings |
| 2. | PLAN | VS (Eight copies 24" x 36" - collated, stapled and folded in sets to 8½" x 11" size and two sets 11" x 17") |
| | a. | As requested by Development Services Department |
| 3. | TITL | E REPORT |
| | a. | Three copies of current (not more than six months old) Preliminary Title Report and Grant Deed. The Preliminary Title Report shall have a copy of each easement referenced in the report. |
| 4. | PUB | BLIC NOTICE PACKAGE required for VARIANCE |
| | a. | One (1) set of San Diego County Assessor's map(s), prepared by a Title Company, marked-up showing the entire property outlined in red with a green line encircling the property at a distance of 300 feet from the property line. Each parcel lying wholly or in-part with the 300 feet shall have its Assessor's number colored yellow with a "highlighter" pen or yellow colored pencil. Assessor's maps must be 11" x 17" (full size) at true scale and not reduced. |
| | b. | Two (2) sets of mailing labels and one (1) copy of mailing labels in the standard mailing address format (Avery Template 5360). The list of labels shall be prepared by a Title Company. The typed list must include all affected property owner's parcels highlighted in yellow as above; by Assessor's Parcel Number with names and address. The addresses must be the property owner and not the leaser or renter. In addition, the mailing list shall include the name and address of the subject property owner, applicant, and the agent or individual responsible for the request. |
| | C. | If a mobile home park and/or a multi-family residential development are located within the 300-foot radius of the project site, an additional mailing label shall be provided for the occupant. The label shall be addressed to "Occupant" and shall include the Assessor's Parcel Number and address. Contact staff to verify this requirement |
| | d. | Envelopes and stamps are not required. Costs associated with the required public mailing/noticing will be charged to the project deposit account. |
| 5. | PUBI | LIC NOTICE PACKAGE required for MINOR EXCEPTION |
| | a. | The Development Services Department will notify contiguous property owners by mail. The |
| 6. | FEES | applicant is responsible for postage fees and associated mailing fees. |
| ٠. | | |
| | a. | Variance/Minor Exception Deposit (for new development) |

__ Variance/Minor Exception Fee (for existing residential developed property)



| FOR | DEPARTMENT USE ONL | Υ_ |
|-----|--------------------|----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Department of Development Services 10601 Magnolia Avenue, Santee, CA 92071-1222 (619) 258-4100, Extension 167

| Site Location:Assessor Parcel Number(s): | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Name: | Name: | | | |
| Address: | Address: | | | |
| Phone: | Phone: | | | |
| Email: | Email: | | | |
| Signature: | | | | |
| Print Name: | (Authorizing Applicant to Submit Application) —— Print Name: | | | |
| 3. Applicant Representative | 4. Designer/Engineer | | | |
| Name: | Name: | | | |
| Address: | Address: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Phone: | Phone: | | | |
| Email: | Email: | | | |
| Signature: | Signature: | | | |
| Print Name: | Print Name: | | | |

| F | Parcel Size (Acres): | 6. | Building Size (sq. ft.): | |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------|
| E | Existing Land Use: | | | |
| (| General Plan Land Use Designation: | 9 . | Zone Designation: | |
| r | Variance/Minor Exception Request: more space is required. The description the maximum allowed adjustment, and t | shall include the re | equired minimum developme | |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | _ |
| | | | | |
| | | | | _ |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | MINOR EXCEPTION across the street from the | – e building |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop | TY OWNERS OF N | MINOR EXCEPTION across the street from the | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF N | INOR EXCEPTION across the street from the s) requested. | |
| | NOTICE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPER We, the undersigned owners of prop certify that we have been provided noti | TY OWNERS OF Nerty adjoining and ice of the Variance(| Assessor's Parcel Number of all of the property adjoinin | <u>r</u> |

APPLICANT'S STATEMENTS OF JUSTIFICATION

<u>Findings:</u> Before approving a discretionary permit, the City shall make certain findings that the circumstances prescribed below do apply. <u>On a separate sheet of paper, explain how your project meets the findings for the specific permit requested:</u>

VARIANCE FINDINGS:

Before granting a variance, the Director shall make the following findings that the circumstances prescribed below do apply:

- 1. That strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary physical hardship inconsistent with the objectives of this code;
- 2. That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zone;
- 3. That strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation would deprive the applicant of privileges enjoyed by the owners of other properties in the same zone;
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare, or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity.

MINOR EXCEPTION FINDINGS:

The Director shall make the following findings when approving an application for a minor exception:

- That strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary physical hardship inconsistent with the objectives of the general plan and intent of the development code.
- 2. That there are exceptional circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same district.
- 3. That strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation would deprive the applicant of privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the same district.
- 4. That the granting of the minor exception will not constitute a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitations on other properties classified in the same district, and will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare, or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity.

| the undersigned, under penalty of perjury, claim the information contained within this application is orrect to the best of my knowledge. |
|---|
| Signed: |
| (applicant) |
| Please Print Name: |

CITY OF SANTEE ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM

| | | Permit Application: Date Submitted: |
|--|--|---|
| Project Title: | | |
| Proposed Use of the | ne Site: | |
| Project Location: _ | | |
| Project APN(s): | | |
| Applicant | | Property Owner |
| Name: | | Name: |
| Address: | | |
| City, State, ZIP: | | |
| Telephone: | | Telephone: |
| | lan Designation: | |
| Paved Road | s . (is the site currently \square Yes \square No | y served by the following?) |
| Water Services | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| Sewer Services | ☐ Yes ☐ No | 0 |
| | 1 | U |
| Sewer Services Septic System Electric Service | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| Septic System Electric Service Surrounding Land cultural, historic, or s North: South: | Yes No | riefly describe the project's surroundings, including plants, animals, any fland use, intensity of land use, and scale of development. |
| Septic System Electric Service Surrounding Land cultural, historic, or s North: South: East: | Yes No | o riefly describe the project's surroundings, including plants, animals, any fand use, intensity of land use, and scale of development. |
| Septic System Electric Service Surrounding Land cultural, historic, or s North: South: East: West: Gillespie Field Airp | Yes No | riefly describe the project's surroundings, including plants, animals, any fland use, intensity of land use, and scale of development. |

| | Safety Zone (Exhibit III-2): | 1 | Noise Contour (Exhibit III-1): |
|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | ☐ 1 | | < 60dB CNEL |
| | □ 2 | | ☐ 60-65dB CNEL |
| | □ 3 | | 65-70dB CNEL |
| | ☐ 4 | | ☐ 70-75 dB CNEL |
| | □ 5 | | 75+dB CNEL |
| | ☐ 6 | | _ |
| | ☐ None | | |
| | | | |
| | Avigation Easement Area (| Exhibit III-6): | FAA Height Notification Boundary (Exhibit III-3): |
| | ☐ Yes | • | Yes |
| | ☐ No | | ☐ No |
| | The entire Gillespie Field pla | n can be download from | n: |
| | http://www.san.org/Airport-Projects | Land-Use-Compatibility#1180 | <u>076-alucps</u> |
| | | | |
| 12. | | | .g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement, |
| | including those required by lo | icai regionai, state, and | rederal agencies). |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 13. | TOPOGRAPHY: Describe th | e existing topography of | f the site. |
| | | 3 - 1 - 3 - 1 - 3 - 1 - 7 - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 14. | WILL GRADING BE REQUI | RED? Yes | □ No |
| | | | |
| | CUT (CU/YDS): | FILL(CU/YDS): | PERCENT OF LOT GRADED: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| CERT | TEICATION: I bereby o | artify that the state | ements furnished above and in the attached exhibits |
| | | | initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the |
| | | | true and correct to the best of my knowledge and |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | allon presented are | e true and correct to the best of my knowledge and |
| belief. | • | | |
| | | | |
| Date | : | | |
| Date | • | Applicant Signature | |
| | | Applicant Olynature | O . |
| | | | |
| | | For (Name of the F | Property Owner) |
| | | | roporty Ownor, |

ATTACHMENT

ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS, AS NEEDED, TO FULLY EXPLAIN ANY OF THE ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

| | e environmental factors checke Potentially Significant Impact" | | | | | s project, involving at least one impact that is pages. |
|--|--|--------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|---|
| | Aesthetics | | Agriculture / Fores | try Resources | | Air Quality |
| | Biological Resources | | Cultural Resource | S | | Energy |
| | Geology / Soils | | Greenhouse Gas | Emissions | | Hazards & Hazardous Materials |
| | Hydrology / Water Quality | | Land Use / Planni | ng | | Mineral Resources |
| | Noise | | Population / Housi | ng | | Public Services |
| | Recreation | | Transportation | | | Tribal Cultural Resources |
| | Utilities / Service Systems | | Wildfire | | | Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| I. AESTHETICS. Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project: a) Have a substantial adverse effect on scenic vista? □ Potentially Significant Impact □ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated □ Less Than Significant Impact □ No Impact Discussion: | | | | | | |
| b) | with a scenic highway? | resou | urces, including, i | out not limited | to tree | es, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impa | act | | ☐Less than S | ignific | ant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Imp | act | | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| c) | c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impa | ct | | Less than S | ignific | ant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impa | ct | | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| d) | Create a new source of subst | antial | light or glare which | ch would adver | sely af | fect day or nighttime views in the area? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impa | ct | | ☐Less than S | ignific | ant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impa | ct | | ☐ No Impact | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |

| sig As imp sig Fo Pro | nificant environmental effects, lead agencies may sessment Model (1997) prepared by the California I pacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining nificant environmental effects, lead agencies may restry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inver | In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are refer to information complied by the California Department of atory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment of the the torest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest — Would the project: | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| a) | Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the map prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to no agricultural use? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| b) | Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a | Williamson Act contract? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| c) | Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| d) | Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of fore | st land to non-forest use? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| e) | e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? | | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |
| | AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance critair pollution control district may be relied upon to mak | teria established by the applicable air quality management district e the following determinations. Would the project: | | | | |
| a) | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applic | able air quality plan? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | | |

| b) | Result in a cumulatively considerable net increas attainment under an applicable federal or state amb | se of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- ient air quality standard? | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| c) | Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant of | concentrations? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| d) | Result in other emissions (such as those leading to | odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people)? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| IV. | . BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project: | | | | |
| a) | Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| b) | Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| c) | Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |
| d) | Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or imp | rive resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established lede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | | | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | | | |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact | | | |
| | Discussion: | | | | |

| e) | Conflict with any local policies or ordinances prote ordinance? | ecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or |
|-----------|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | ☐ Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat C approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation | onservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other on plan? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | ☐ Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| <u>V.</u> | CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: | |
| a) | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significa | nce of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significa | nce of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | Disturb any human remains, including those interred | d outside of formal cemeteries? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| VI. | ENERGY. Would the project: | |
| a) | Result in potentially significant environmental impenergy resources, during project construction or ope | act due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of eration? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for rene | ewable energy or energy efficiency? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:

| a) Dire | ectly or indirectly cause potential substantial adve | erse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving: |
|----------|--|--|
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| issi | | on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division |
| L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| ii) Str | ong seismic ground shaking? | |
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| iii) Sei | smic-related ground failure, including liquefaction | ? |
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| iv) Lar | ndslides? | |
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| b) Re | sult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topso | il? |
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact cussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| , | located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable entially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral sp | le, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and preading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? |
| □ L | Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |

| d) | Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 1 indirect risks to life or property? | 8-1-B of the Uniform Building Code, creating substantial direct or |
|------------|--|---|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| e) | Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the where sewers are not available for the disposal of w | use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems aste water? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological | al resource or site or unique geologic feature? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| <u>VII</u> | I. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the proje | ect: |
| a) | Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either dire environment? | ectly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation gases? | adopted for the purpose of reducing the emission of greenhouse |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| IX. | HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would | d the project: |
| a) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the hazardous materials? | environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the econditions involving the release of hazardous materi | environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident als into the environment? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

| c) | Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous o quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? | r acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one- |
|-----------|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| d) | Be located on a site which is included on a list of h section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a si | nazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code ignificant hazard to the public or the environment? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| e) | | or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a tresult in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| f) | Impair implementation of or physically interfere with plan? | n an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| g) | Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly fires? | ectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| <u>X.</u> | HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the p | roject: |
| a) | Violate any water quality standards or waste disch ground water quality? | narge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or inte may impede sustainable groundwater management | rfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project of the basin? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

| c) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of t stream or river or through the addition of impervious | he site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a surfaces, in a manner which would: |
|------------|--|---|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact ☐ Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| i. | result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-sit | e; |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| ii. | substantially increase the rate or amount of surface | runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite; |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| iii. | create or contribute runoff water which would excee or provide substantial additional sources of polluted | d the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems runoff; or |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated☐ No Impact |
| iv. | impede or redirect flood flows? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact ☐ Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated☐ No Impact |
| d) | In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk relea | se of pollutants due to project inundation? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| e) | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water q | uality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |
| <u>XI.</u> | . LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project: | |
| a) | Physically divide an established community? | |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact☐ Less Than Significant ImpactDiscussion: | ☐ Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact |

| b) | Cause a significant environmental impact due to a coupurpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental of | conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the effect? |
|-----|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| XII | I. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project: | |
| a) | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral the state? | resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Result in the loss of availability of a locally-import plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | ant mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| XII | II. NOISE. Would the project result in: | |
| a) | | nt increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in neral plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or gr | roundborne noise levels? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | | airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or s? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project: a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of road or other infrastructure)? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: XV. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project: a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: i. Fire Protection? ii. Police Protection? iii. Schools? Parks? iv. Other Public Facilities? ٧. ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: XVI. RECREATION. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which have an adverse physical effect on the environment? Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact Less Than Significant Impact

Discussion:

XVII. TRANSPORTATION. Would the project: a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact Less Than Significant Impact Discussion: b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact **Discussion:** d) Result in inadequate emergency access? ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES. a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in the Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in the Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Less Than Significant Impact ☐ No Impact Discussion: A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe. ☐ Potentially Significant Impact Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated ☐ No Impact Less Than Significant Impact

Discussion:

$\underline{\textbf{XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.}}$ Would the project:

| a) | | of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water unications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could |
|----|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Have sufficient water supplies available to serve th normal, dry and multiple dry years? | e project and reasonably foreseeable future development during |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | | ment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has emand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| d) | Generate solid waste in excess of State or local solid waste impair the attainment of solid waste reduced the solid waste redu | standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or tion goals? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| e) | Comply with federal, state, and local management a | nd reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| | X. WILDFIRE. If located in or near state responsibility buld the project: | areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, |
| a) | Substantially impair an adopted emergency respons | e plan or emergency evacuation plan? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, expollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncont | xacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, trolled spread of a wildfire? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |

| C) | • | ted infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water serbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts |
|----|--|--|
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| d) | Expose people or structures to significant risks, included from the structures of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage change change in the structure of the structu | uding downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result ges? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| XX | (I. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE. | |
| a) | habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or v | degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered ne major periods of California history or prehistory? |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| b) | | of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| c) | Does the project have environmental effects which directly or indirectly? | n will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either |
| | ☐ Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated |
| | Less Than Significant Impact | ☐ No Impact |
| | Discussion: | |
| | | |

Authority: Public Resources Code 21083, 21094.5.5 Reference: Public Resources Code Sections 21094.5 and 21094.5.5

HAZARDOUS WASTE STATEMENT CITY OF SANTEE

| Application Number | • |
|--------------------|---|
|--------------------|---|

Section 65962.5(f) of the State of California Government Code requires that prior to the City of Santee accepting a development application; the applicant shall submit a signed statement indicating whether or not the project site has been identified as a hazardous waste or cleanup site.

Check the following sites for information:

1) California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC):

http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/

2) State Water Resources Control Board, Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA):

http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/

3) State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), Water Data Library (WDL):

http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/

| PROJECT | SITE INFORMATION |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| PROJECT ADDRESS: | ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER (APN): |
| IS THE PROJECT SITE AS A HAZARDOU | S WASTE OR CLEAN UP SITE: |
| DTSC: YES NO CASE | FILE: DATE OF LIST: |
| GAMA: YES NO CASE | FILE: DATE OF LIST: |
| SWQCB WDL: YES NO CASE | FILE: DATE OF LIST: |
| | |
| APPLICANT'S NAME/ADRESS: | PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME ADDRESS: |
| APPPLICANT'S PHONE / EMAIL: | |
| APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE | DATE SIGNED: |



City of Santee Development Services Dept. 10601 Magnolia Avenue Santee, CA 92071-1222

(619) 258-4100)

OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

| Project Title: | Project No. For City Use Only |
|---|--|
| Project Address: | |
| Legal Status (please check): | |
| □ Corporation (□ Limited Liability –or-Corporate Identification No.: □ Partnership (list names below) | General) What State? |
| (Type or Print Name of Partner) | (Type or Print Name of Partner) |
| (Type or Print Name of Partner) | (Type or Print Name of Partner) |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i | s(s) (if applicable) of the above referenced property. The addresses of all persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, whe all corporate officers, and all partners in partnership where s responsible for notifying the Project Manager of ar |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i changes in ownership during the time the in ownership are to be given to the Project | addresses of all persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, whall corporate officers, and all partners in partnership where the state of the s |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i changes in ownership during the time the in ownership are to be given to the Projec on the subject property. | addresses of all persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, whall corporate officers, and all partners in partnership what responsible for notifying the Project Manager of arapplication is being processed or considered. Change |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i changes in ownership during the time the in ownership are to be given to the Projec on the subject property. Name (type or print): | addresses of all persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, whall corporate officers, and all partners in partnership what responsible for notifying the Project Manager of ar application is being processed or considered. Change the Manager at least thirty days prior to any public hearing. |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i changes in ownership during the time the in ownership are to be given to the Project on the subject property. Name (type or print): Title/Property Interest (type or print): | Addresses of all persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, whe all corporate officers, and all partners in partnership where series is responsible for notifying the Project Manager of an application is being processed or considered. Change the Manager at least thirty days prior to any public hearing. Name (type or print): |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant is changes in ownership during the time the in ownership are to be given to the Project on the subject property. Name (type or print): Title/Property Interest (type or print): Street Address: | Name (type or print): Title/Property Interest (type or print) All persons who have an interest in the type of property interest (e.g., tenants, if known, when all corporate officers, and all partners in partnership when the project Manager of an application is being processed or considered. Change the Manager at least thirty days prior to any public hearing the property interest (type or print). |
| list must include the names, titles and a property, recorded or otherwise, and state will benefit from the permit, all individuals, own the property). Note: The applicant i changes in ownership during the time the | Name (type or print): Title/Property Interest (type or print) Street Address: |